

The Large Neo-Punic Inscription from Hr. Maktar A Narrative of Military Service

Philip C. Schmitz

(Eastern Michigan University - Ypsilanti)

Abstract

This study maintains that the Neo-Punic Inscription Hr. Maktar 64 (KAI 145) is not a typical votive dedication, but reproduces an epistle claiming that the *mzrh* (line 1) built the temple at Maktar. A blessing then introduces a story about their military service in Syria, good treatment by the king of Hatra and an outbreak of factionalism leading to armed confrontation. The *mzrh* was excluded from a celebration at the temple. In protest they sent the dissenting document with their thirty-two signatures. The *mzrh* can be identified as a Roman cavalry unit (*turma*).

Keywords

Epistle, *mzrh*, Neo-Punic, temple, *turma*.

The longest inscription in Neo-Punic script discovered at Mactar in Tunisia has taxed those scholars who have attempted to read it¹. By observation the text falls into two sections: lines 1-15 (columns i-iii) appear to be a narrative, followed in lines 16-47 (columns iv-x) by a list of personal names. The condition of the inscribed surface is reasonably good; only four lacunae require restoration (in lines 6, 7, 8, and 32). The Neo-Punic script has inherent ambiguities of form (JONGELING – KERR 2005: 10-12), leading to variations in the material readings of certain letters. A new and meticulous edition of the text of Hr. Maktar N 64² by Jongeling (JONGELING 2008: 116-123), the fifteenth publication devoted to this Late Punic document³, has expertly consolidated readings. The ample discussions of text-critical and philological questions provided by this new edition create a stable base for additional interpretation.

The present study offers a translation of the first fourteen lines of Hr. Maktar 64 and a very limited analysis of the personal names in lines 16-47. The result of this exercise is somewhat unexpected. The text is not a votive dedication in the usual vein. It appears rather to be a transcript of or excerpt from an epistolary document authored by a group

Ricevuto: 05.08.2011. *Accettato*: 01.12.2011.

¹ Grammatical abbreviations precede the tables and the reference list. On the site of Mactar, see M'CHAREK 1982.

² The label and numeration follow JONGELING 2008.

³ Previous studies include BERGER 1893: 1901; CLERMONT-GANNEAU 1900a-b; HALÉVY 1901; LIDZBARSKI 1902: 45-50; FÉVRIER 1956; SZNYCER 1972: 25-43; VAN DEN BRANDEN 1973: 165-172; KRAHMALKOV 1975; GARBINI 1986 [1987]: 33-34; NSI 59a; RES 2221; KAI 145. In the present discussion, *Neo-Punic* refers to script and orthography; *Late Punic* classifies the language represented. AMADASI GUZZO 2005 and JONGELING – KERR 2005: 1-5 provide the rationale.

designated a *mzrh*. The text specifies that the *mzrh* built the temple at Maktar (prescript), invokes a blessing on the temple and its populace (another epistolary convention), and undertakes a narrative about military service in Syria, gratitude for regular rations, and an outbreak of factionalism leading to armed confrontation. The course of events apparently resulted in the expulsion of the signatories from a celebration at the temple, giving rise to the dissenting document sent in protest. Thirty-two signatures conclude its text.

The text's first line introduces the party responsible for the document. The phrase *hmzrh š ldrt š* discloses the historical context. The word *mzrh* means literally "indigene", and appears to be a mass noun designating a group of limited size⁴. From the clause *hmzrh š ldrt š* "this *mzrh*, which has existed for generations", we infer that the *mzrh* is an autochthonous local community of long standing.

Previous discussions of Hr. Maktar 64 have overlooked an important detail: the number of names of members listed in lines 16-47 is thirty-two, not counting the patronyms but including the name of the person designated *rb*, a title of leadership. The number thirty-two leads to the deduction that the persons listed were members of a Roman cavalry unit, or *turma*. The *turma* is "the smallest unit of an *ala* or mounted contingent of a *cohors equitata*, commanded by a *decurio* and probably containing thirty-two men" (SOUTHERN 2001: 341)⁵. The group of thirty-two signatories to Hr. Maktar 64 was probably a *turma* of the *equites Numidarum* that had served Rome since the Second Punic War⁶.

It might be argued that the *mzrh* was not part of an *ala* in active service, but one of the numerous military *collegia* in Africa and Numidia⁷. Among the many roles of military *collegia* were charitable and religious activities of a fairly broad scope (GINSBURG 1940: 149-150; CAMPBELL 1994: 136). To my knowledge, however, there is no evidence that the membership of military *collegia* was a fixed number. The number of members of the Maktar *mzrh* may better suit its identification as an active military unit. Below I will also point out references in the text to service at Sura on the Euphrates (lines 4-5), receipt of regular rations (lines 6-8), and an armed confrontation

⁴ For detailed analysis, see the commentary on S1 (line 1) below.

⁵ Arrian (*Tactica* 18) states that 512 men comprised an *ala quingenaria*. This is 16 *turmae* of 32 persons (including officers) per *turma* (DIXON – SOUTHERN 1992: 23-24, with additional examples). Varro derived *turma* from *terima* "because it was originally composed of thirty (three times ten) horsemen from each tribe" (*De Lingua Latina* 5.91. SMITH 2006: 189 and WISEMAN 2009: 89] note the idealizing character of this derivation. (See also comments on S12). Latin *turma* = Gk. ἵλη, Dor. ἵλα "crowd, band, troop, company"; MHeb. *tūrmī* "turma, a squadron of horse" (JASTROW 1985: 527; cf. KRAUSS 1898: 596).

⁶ The word *turma* circulated outside military contexts: note the phrase *turmas messorum* "gangs of harvesters" in the 'Harvester' epitaph from Maktar (CIL 8.11824 = ILS 7457 = CLE 1238; ROSTOVITZ 1957: 687 n. 95; PICARD – ROUGÉ 1969: 224-227; tr. by WHITE 1977: 93; LEPELLEY 1981: 290-291; FENTRESS 2006).

⁷ This approximates the views of FÉVRIER 1956: 16 and SZNYCER 1972: 36. Active servicemen were barred by law from membership in *collegia tenuiorum* (GINSBURG 1940: 150). Epigraphic evidence concerning military *collegia* comes mainly from the Severan period, "largely from the camp of the III Augusta at Lambaesis in Africa" (CAMPBELL 1994: 136, citing CAGNAT 1892: 394-395, 366). For a survey of research on Roman *collegia*, see LIU 2009: 4-11). Concerning Gk. συσσίτια and Lat. *collegia*, and Caracalla's suppression of συσσίτια in Alexandria, see BURASELIS 1995: 173-180. On African sodalities more generally, see BESCHAOUCH 1977, 1985.

(lines 9-11)⁸. To some degree these details weigh against the view that the members of the *mzrh* were retired veterans, although certainty is impossible.

From this point on, the word *mzrh* will carry the gloss *turma*. The Latin word will remain in italic font both to indicate that it is a foreign lexeme, and to remind the reader that the identification argued above is – although likely in my view – not certain.

Onomastic evidence in Hr. Maktar 64 adds contour to the emerging historical scene. The *mzrh* and its leader are mentioned later in the text: *rb hmzrh shlkny* ... “the commander⁹ of the *turma* is *Selikaniye* ...” (line 16). This name *shlkny* is likely to be a gentilic formed on the Hellenistic toponym *Seleukia*¹⁰. Two other members of the *mzrh* have the name (lines 20, 31), and it is the patronymic of another member (line 28). The other names in this list (lines 17-47) can be examined for information about the ethno-linguistic composition of the *turma*. That examination takes place later in this paper.

The text that follows is reproduced from JONGELING 2008: 116 with several differences of word division and three restorations not proposed by that author: *b[s]rtm*, line 6; *[h]*, line 7; and *[q]š*, line 8.

Text of Hr. Maktar 64 (= NSI 59a; KAI 145), lines 1-14, 16

1 *hmzrh š ldrt š bn mqdš ḥṣrt*
 2 *p ḥnt qdšm mḥzt š t t l mt*
 3 *ṭrt dr t l wl m yšb dmt*
 4 *l lm ḥqydš lš t ḥt šmm bswr*
 5 *mlk ḥṭrm yskr rzn ymm b l ḥrdt*
 6 *lg b[s]rtm k trty ytnty šb t*
 7 *sml mrn [h]ḥsyd tm plt l m br*
 8 *yrd b mq ḥlh w ḥr st [q]š t t drt*
 9 *r š šlq tm plytm wdl qš bḥb rt*
 10 *šḥr šd rkn wln ḥn yinn pḥt*
 11 *k yln b šr lb p ln k ytn šb t*
 12 *šm t hmzrh š*
 13 *y krm t hm n ḥt*

⁸ In this context of conflict, the word *rk* in line 10a probably implies a military formation.

⁹ The word *rb* in CIS I 5510.9-10 (= KAI 302.9-10) corresponds to Gk. στρατηγός in the narrative of a military event in Diodorus (13.43; KRAHMALKOV 1974; SCHMITZ 1994: 11; AMADASI GUZZO 2006: 696). In the present instance, *rb* possibly corresponds to Lat. *decurio*, the usual title of the commander of a *turma* (SOUTHERN 2001: 341).

¹⁰ Compare the spelling of Middle Hebrew *slwwkyh* = Σελεύκεια (εὐ > /əb/ [KRAUSS 1898: 56-57 §§72-73]) and the more frequent clipped form *slyq-* = Σελεύκ- (KRAUSS 1898: 394). The former establishes the consonantal frame *slk-*, and the latter establishes the raising of unstressed εῦ to /i/. This vocalism appears also in Lat. *Selicanus* (RÖLLIG, KAI vol. 2: 144; SZNYCER 1986: 11, no. 5; FERJAOUI 2007: 35). Contrast the Late Babylonian transcription *Seluku* for Σέλευκος (BM 55437, 5' [STOLPER 1993: 48]; HSM 913.1.1 [7499], pp. 9, 28; 913.1.5 (7313): 31; 913.1.6 [7314]: 30 [WALLENFELS 1998: 9-10, 16, 46]). The Roman military *classis syriaca* “was created at the latest during the course of the second century, and it was attached to the port of Seleucia in Pieria” (SARTRE 2005: 139). The narrative below mentions the Syrian town Sura, suggesting a route by which the name *shlkny* traveled to Africa.

14 *qr' lmm 'l' m t'*

Line 15 is not included in this study.

16 *rb hmzrh shlkny bn m'nzm 'r*

Translation and commentary

Below is the Punic text analyzed by sentence with translation and philological commentary. A complete glossary of lines 1-14, 16 can be found in table 1. The personal names in lines 16-47 appear in table 2.

Part 1: Introduction

Column i

S1(1) *hmzrh 'š ldrt 'š bn 'mqdš*

“This *turma*, which has existed for generations, built this sanctuary”

or: “The *Oriens* which is of Dura (it is) who built this sanctuary”

h- def. art. Although formally the definite article, *h-* and *'* function as deictic particles in this sentence according to the first interpretation.

mzrh (*z-r-h*) n. “indigene” (on morphology, see FRIEDRICH – RÖLLIG 1999: 136-138 §§200-201; cf. HALOT: 28 s.v. *'ezrāh*; 281 s.v. *zrh* i). On the specific meaning “*turma*”, see the comment below.

or: (*z-r-h*) n. “sunrise, east” (cf. HALOT: 281 s.v. *zeraḥ*). The word */mizraḥ/* “East” might have been lexicalized as a proper name: Punic *mzrh* = Lat. *Oriens*.

dr (*dwr*) n.f. “cycle, generation” (DNWSI: 258-259; cf. HALOT: 217-218; Ug. *dr* 2, DUL: 280; HALAYQA 2008: 127).

or: Aram. *dr'*, Gk. *Δούρα*, modern Dura-Europos.

'š (*'-y-š*) v. 3 sing. “exist” (DNWSI: 472; cf. BH *yēš* HALOT: 443; Ug. *iš* (DUL: 77; HALAYQA 2008: 71). The verb *'š* also occurs at Maktar in the clause *w'š lnm* “(may) there exist for them” (Hr. Maktar N 76.10 [= iv.1]), discussed in the comments below.

Ch. Clermont-Ganneau (1900a-b) had argued that *mzrh* must specify a group of people, which he glossed “assembly” or “*curia*”. His starting point (1900a: 25), the word *mzrh* in the Marseille tariff (KAI 69.16), remains the necessary clue¹¹. The tariff specifies liability for expenses incurred through group sacrifices (lines 16-17), and in this context lists three kinds of groups in whose behalf sacrifices might be expected to take place: *mzrh* “*turma*”, *šph* “clan”, and *mrzh 'lm*, a kind of religious association. The list encompasses three significant social forces: military affiliation¹², kinship¹³, and religion.

¹¹ So, e.g., SZNYCER 1972: 24-26.

¹² North African societies in this region comprised an indigenous stratum, a Carthaginian colonial stratum (MANFREDI 2003), and, at this period, superimposed Roman imperial colonists. The military connected all levels: “These cavalry units were extra-tribal, and provided an important link between the emerging [Roman] state and its people” (BRETT – FENTRESS 1996: 34).

¹³ See BURKE 1992: 53-55. MARTIN 2009: 184-185 schematizes Berber unilineal patrilineal descent groups thus: *domus, familiae*, clan (*gens*), subtribe, tribe, confederation. Appealing to this typology, I

J. Halévy (1901: 265) analyzed Punic *mzrh* as cognate to Biblical Hebrew *'ezrah*, which is translated in LXX as *αὐτόχθων*¹⁴. The Punic word *mzrh*, like Heb. *'ezrah* (GUZZO AMADASI 1967: 181), derives from *z-r-h* “to rise” and connotes indigenous status¹⁵. As I have argued above, the number of members of the *mzrh* –thirty-two – provides the grounds for its identification as a *turma*.

Recognizing the statement *ldrt 'š* “has existed for generations” involves identification of the verb *'š* “to exist”. The statement establishes the *mzrh* as a traditional component of the local society. Clermont-Ganneau’s assertion that *drt* means *municipium*, *colonia*, or *pagus* (CLERMONT-GANNEAU 1900a: 37; FÉVRIER 1956: 16) is unconvincing. A derivation of *drt* from *dwr* “generation” fits the semantic context far better. A similar meaning suits the word *dr* elsewhere at Maktar: *dr' kn' šlm w'š lnm zr' wšp't* “May its generation have peace, and (may) there be for them offspring and affluence” (Hr. Maktar N 76.10 [= iv.1]).

The phrase *'š lnm* “(may) there be for them” in the sentence just cited provides another attestation of the verb *'š* (< *'-y-š*) “exist”. Krahmalkov (1975: 179, 186; PPD: 80 s.v. *'š* V) identified the form, and reads the verb *'š* also in the Punic personal name *'šb'l* “Baal is alive!” (CIS I 4917.5; BENZ 1972: 70; see PPD: 80 s.v. *'š* V; 2001: 276-277). Consensus has not yet formed regarding the attestation of *'š* “exist” in Phoenician-Punic. In my judgment, Hr. Maktar N 75.10 offers the least ambiguous example of *'š* “exist”¹⁶.

Ammianus Marcellinus provides evidence that indigenous cavalry units were recognized as such: *Cuius oppidi praesidio erat semper Quinta Parthica legio destinata, cum indigenarum turma non contemnenda* “Of this town the regular garrison was formed by the Fifth Legion, *Parthica*, along with [a *turma* of indigenes] of no mean size” (*Rerum Gestarum* 18.9.3)¹⁷. The words *indigenarum* and *turma* link the social and military categories in a single phrase.

We cannot entirely exclude the possibility that the word *mzrh* /*mizrah*/ means “East”, equivalent to Latin *Oriens*. The word *drt* /*dūrat*/ is then possibly a toponym—the name of the Syrian city Dura¹⁸, now generally called Dura-Europos (THOMPSON 1992). Thus *hmzrh 'š ldr* “the *Oriens* which is of Dura” is a proper name (Lat. *Oriens Durae*) designating a military unit, perhaps a vexillation from one of the Palmyrene *numeri* serving in Numidia¹⁹. Further evidence of the group’s experience emerges in S5 (lines 4-5) below.

would argue that *šph* corresponds to the clan or *gens*. Concerning unilateral descent groups, see LÉVI-STRAUSS 1969: 73.

¹⁴ So also FÉVRIER 1956: 15-16 and SZNYCER 1972: 35-36.

¹⁵ LIPINSKI 1995: 381 identifies *mzrh* with reference to *misre* in a Latin inscription from Sucubi (Henchir Brerita). On the inscription, see POINSSOT 1959. JONGELING 2008: 128 considers the spelling *mzr'* in Hr. Maktar 76.8 evidence that *mzrh* should be vocalized /*mizrē*/.

¹⁶ The Biblical Hebrew form *'š* (< *'-y-š*) “exist” is generally recognized at 2 Sam 14:19 (e.g., WALTKE – O’CONNOR 1990: 93 §5.8b).

¹⁷ Text and translation [modified by the present author] by ROLFE 1935-1940.

¹⁸ Aram. *dr'*, Gk. *Doura*. Note Lidzbarski’s comment, “vielleicht ein Ortsname” (LIDZBARSKI 1902: 48). Dura was captured from the Parthians by Rome in 165 CE.

¹⁹ Members of the *numerus Palmyrenorum* served in Africa (CIL viii 11343; 18008) as did Syrian contingents (EQUINI SCHNEIDER 1988). As a case of the latter, note the dedication *AE* 1983, 976 (Maktar, c. A.D. 150): *Apollini Patrio Aug(usto) Sex(tus) Iulius Possessor, praef(ectus) coh(ortis)*

S2 *ḥsrt* (2) *p ḥnt qdšm mḥzt š t t 'l*

“The enclosures here are dwellings of holy ones; visions for wanderers are these!”

ḥsrt (*ḥsr*) n. f. pl. “enclosures” (JONGELING 2008: 390 s.v; DNWSI: 400-401; PPD: 195 s.v. *ḥsr iii*; cf. HALOT: 345; Ug. *ḥzr* “mansion” DUL: 382; Emar /ḥiṣarāti/ [PENTIUC 2001: 71; HALAYQA 2008: 168])²⁰.

ḥnt (*ḥ-n-y*) n. f.pl. “dwellings” (cf. Heb. *ḥnh* HALOT: 332).

p /fō/ adv. ‘here’ Pun. *pho* (*Poen.* 932 [DNWSI: 902]); other occurrences include KAI 46.8 (Nora), CIS I 112 c¹ (Abu Simbel), and CIS I 86B.8 (Kition). Compare Old Canaanite *pū* “here” (EA 104.53); Ug. *p* “here” (DUL: 657); BH *pô* “here” (HALOT: 916).

mḥzt (*ḥ-z-y*) /*māḥzūt*/ n. f.pl. “visions”; cf. BH Num 24:4, 16 (HALOT: 301); cf. JONGELING 2008: 395 s.v., “window, light”²¹.

t t (*t-‘y*) “wander”, m.s. part.; cf. BH part. *tô ‘eh* (s.), *tô ‘ôt* (pl.), implying Late Pun. *tō ‘ūt* (cf. FRIEDRICH – RÖLLIG 1999: 115; KRAHMALKOV 2001: 198).

l demon. pron. pl. “these” (JONGELING 2008: 382 s.v.).

FÉVRIER 1956: 17 interpreted *ḥnt qdšm* as a reference to a sacred crypt, a view that has received recent elaboration (SUSANNA 2007). My reading of S2 is incompatible with this line of interpretation. The word *t t* “wanderers” appears later in the text (line 8) with the more specific sense “nomads”.

S3 *‘mt* (3) *‘trt ‘dr ‘t l’ wl ‘m ‘yšb ‘dmt*

“Its community is a crown of splendor to it and to the people that inhabits its land”.

‘mt (*‘m*) /*‘amīt*/ n. f.s. “community”, also *‘mt* CIS I 263.3 (*pace* PPD: 380 s.v.); cf. BH *‘āmīt* (HALOT: 845).

‘trt (*‘tr*) n. f.s. /*‘āṭirt*/ “garland”; cf. BH *‘āṭārā* (HALOT: 815). JONGELING 2008: 400 s.v., “crown” > “cornice”.

‘dr ‘t (*‘dr*) /*‘adret*/ (?) n. f.s. “splendour” (NSI: 154); cf. JONGELING 2008: 382 s.v.; BH *‘āderet* (HALOT: 17). The use of *‘* as a vowel letter representing e or ē is discussed by KERR 2010: 43; note the similar spelling of *mqn ‘t* /*miqnet*/ “purchase” (Wadi el-Amud N 1.1).

l’ (*l-*) /*lō*/ prep. with 3ms pron. sfx. Note the vocalized spelling *lo* (Bir ed-Dreder 5.19; Nawalia LP 1.6; KERR 2010: 149). The same consonantal spelling is attested in CIS 5510.7 (= KAI 302.7), dated 406 B.C.E.

‘m (*‘m*) /*‘am*/ n. m. “people” (JONGELING 2008: 401 s.v.).

‘ def. art. (JONGELING 2008: 381 s.v.; 388 s.v. *h*).

yšb (*y-š-b*) v. *qal* part. /*yōšēb*/ “inhabit” (JONGELING 2008: 391 s.v.).

‘dmt (*‘dm*) n. “land” (PPD: 34 s.v.).

Gall(orum). Curator numeri Syrorum sagittariorum (discussed by SOUTHERN 1989: 138; GNOLI 2007: 183-184).

²⁰ “To construe *ḥsrt* as a genit[ive] after *mqdš* would not make good sense; the two words are to be taken *asyndetos*” (NSI: 153).

²¹ KERR 2010: 145 discusses evidence for the f.pl. ending /ūt/ < /ōt/ in Late Punic.

S4(4) *l'lm hqydš lš't 'ht šmm*
 “To the holy god *may* its brotherhood ascend the heavens”

š't (*n-š-*) inf. “rise”, JONGELING 2008: 399 s.v. *nš'* “to elevate”.

'ht (*'h*) n. f. *'ht /'uhūt* (?)/ “brotherhood”; cf. Syr. *'āḥōt* (BROCKELMANN 1928: 10); BH *'āḥawā* (HALOT: 31). Punic *'ht* is equivalent to Lat. *fraternitas*.

I interpret *lš't* as an optative use of the infinitive construct of the verb *n-š-* “rise” (FRIEDRICH – RÖLLIG 1999: 194 §268.2; KRAHMALKOV 2001: 207). The appositional syntax of the phrase *'yšb 'dmt* “that inhabits its land”²² is distinct from Biblical Hebrew usage, where *hayyô/ōšēb* governs a prepositional phrase introduced by *b-* (21x) or *'al* (6x). The relative function of the definite article also occurs in a syntactically similar Late Punic construction: *tw 'yspn 'lt mqdšm* “(the) cella that we adjoined to the sanctuaries” (KAI 159.5 [Althiburus]).

Sentences 1-4 (lines 1-4a) constitute a coherent unit, serving as an introduction to the narrative that follows. The motif of ascension or apotheosis is characteristically Roman (SEGAL 1980: 1347-1349; PRICE 1987; TABOR 1992: 93)²³. Although the temple and its community are mentioned, neither figures prominently in the remainder of the text until (perhaps) its final section. The themes of community (*'mt*) and brotherhood (*'ht*) established by the word choices in this segment acquire an ironic tone in the narrative of lines 10-11 below.

Part 2: Service in Sura

S5 *bswr°* (5) *mlk ḥṭrm yskr rzn ymm*
 “In Sur(a), the king of the Hatraeans paid our rations daily”

swr° Sur(a). Compare JArm. *swr'* Sura, a city on the Euphrates (JASTROW 1985: 969).

mlk (*mlk₃*) n. m. “king” (DNWSI: 634-640).

ḥṭrm (*Haṭrā*) adj. pl. “Hatraeans”. On the Aramaic orthography of the city’s name, which most likely means “enclosure, hedge, fence”, see Beyer (BEYER 1998: 168)²⁴.

yskr (< *š-k-r*) v. K perf. 3s. “pay”; cf. BH *s-k-r* “hire, bribe” (Ezr 4:5: HALOT: 756).

Late spelling of Phoen. *š-k-r₁* (KAI 24.7: DNWSI 1135). See also JONGELING 2008: 399 s.v. *skr* n.

rz (*rz'*) n. m. “ration”; Compare BH *r-z-h* “be or grow lean” (BDB: 930-931; cf. HALOT: 1209 “to make bad”); *rāz* n.m. “leanness, wasting” (Isa 24:16, BDB: 931; HALOT: 1210); Palm. Arm *rz'* “ration” (CIS II 3932.5)²⁵.

ymm (*ywm*) n. m. “day” (DNWSI: 448-453) with adv. suffix (cf. BH *yômām*: HALOT: 401-402), lit. “daily”.

The orthography of the name *swr°* “Sur(a)” in this inscription involves {w} as a vowel letter for /ū/ (KERR 2010: 52-54)²⁶. A city of Osrhoene west of the confluence of

²² This analysis follows KRAHMALKOV 2000: 34 s.v. *'dmt*). JONGELING 2008: 116 divides *wl'm' yšb*.

²³ Naomi J. Norman (NORMAN 2009) discusses the theme of apotheosis in the context of Roman imperial funerals.

²⁴ In a Hatran inscription the name appears spelled *ḥṭr'* (CAQUOT 1963: 5, line 14).

²⁵ LIDZBARSKI 1898: 368; 1902: 239; Cooke (NSI: 280); cf. CAL’s broader gloss “business matter”.

the Euphrates with the Balikh, Sura “seems to have been under Roman control by the Flavian period, and is often regarded as the limit of Roman power before Roman expansion into the middle Euphrates in AD 165-6, as it was after the loss of Roman control of that region” (COLVIN 2004: 121).

The phrase *mlk ḥṭrm* is unequivocal in this geographic context. The plural gentilic *ḥṭrm* derives from *Ḥaṭrā*(²⁶), a Mesopotamian city about 200 km southeast of Sura. The Roman emperor Trajan was the first to campaign in and annex this region, in 115-116 C.E.

The verb phrase *yskr rzn* “he paid our rations” is clarified by the adverbial modifier *ymm* “daily”. In KAI 24.7, the same verb (spelled *škr*) connotes “hiring” the Assyrian king, with entailment of regular payments of precious metal or supplies to a militia, as in this case also. All previous studies mistakenly identified *rzn* as the homographic word ‘prince’ (KAI 26 A III 12; DNWSI: 1065). Late Punic *rz* is cognate to Biblical Hebrew *rāz* “leanness, wasting” (Isa 24:16). The phonetic similarity of the Semitic word to Latin *ratio* undoubtedly stimulated its use as a calque with the more precise administrative sense ‘ration’, as also in Palmyrene Aramaic.

My reading of S5 eliminates one instance of the supposed divine name *Hoter Miscar*, thought to be the deity to whom the building that carried this inscription was consecrated. The relevant textual evidence needs reassessment²⁷.

S6 *b l ḥrdt* (6) *lg b[š]rtm k trty ytnty šb t*
 “(As for) a master of guards stammering in his [dis]tress, during his supplications were distributions to him (in) abundance”

b l n. m. “lord, master” (DNWSI: 182-184; PPD: 110-111 s.v. *b l* 7; cf. BH *b l* “owner” HALOT: 143; Ug. *b l* 2 “lord, owner” DUL: 206; HALAYQA 2008: 251-252).

ḥrdt (*ḥrd*) n.f.pl. “guards”; cf. Ug. *ḥrd* “guard, militia, troops” (DUL: 403-404); Emar *ḥardātu* “guards” (PENTIUC 2001: 57; HALAYQA 2008: 174). The relation to BH *ḥārādā* “trembling, fear” (HALOT: 351) is uncertain.

lg (‘-l-g) *pi ēl* part. “stammering” (cf. HALOT: 828 s.v.; Ug. ‘-l-g “to stutter” DUL: 158; HALAYQA 2008: 79 s.v.).

[š]rt (*š-r-y*) n. f.pl. “need, distress, anxiety”; cf. BH *šārôt* (HALOT: 1053).

trt (‘-t-r) part. “plead, supplicate” (HALOT: 905-906).

šb t (*šb*) n.f. */šibat/* “abundance, satiety”. Compare Phoen. *šb* ‘ “plenty” (DNWSI: 1103; HALOT: 1304; JONGELING 2008: 406 s.v.).

My awkward translation attempts to preserve the main chronological point of the example: rations were doled out faster than troops could ask for them. The phrase *b l ḥrdt* possibly stands for a Latin military rank, but the precise equivalent is not apparent.

Jongeling and Kerr (2005: 37) and Jongeling (2008: 127) follow precedent by interpreting *šb t* as an abstract noun from *š-b-* ‘ “be abundant, satiate”. The noun *šb* ‘ (< *š-b-* ‘) “plenty” occurs in Phoenician (KAI 26 A I 6, II 7, 13, 16, III 7, 9), but the form *šb t* occurs only in Hr. Maktar N 64 and N 76.1, 4, 8, 11.

²⁶ The spelling of Pun *swr*, corresponding to Arm *swr*’, raises unresolved orthographic questions about the possible identification of *drt* with *dr*’. The latter name could have been assimilated in spelling to Arm *dwrt*’, *drt*’ “courtyard” (JASTROW 1985: 290; 326).

²⁷ The Egyptian derivation is dubious: JONGELING 2008: 333 s.v. *ḥṭr myskr*; cf. 367 s.v. ‘*ṭr myskr*.

Column ii

S7(7) *sml mrn[h]hsyd tm plt' 'lm 'br*

“(May) an image of our gracious lord declare the virtue of his deeds eternally”

sml n. f.s. “image, sculpture, statue” (DNWSI: 792; cf. HALOT: 760).

mr (Arm *mr'*) n. m. “lord”; cf. BArm *māre'* (HALOT: 1921-192).

[*h*] conjectural restoration; the definite article is possible but not necessary.

hsyd (*hsd*) n. m. /*ḥsīd*/ “gracious”; cf. BH *ḥesed* “loyalty” (HALOT: 336-337).

Compare adj. m. “pious, kind” (DNWSI: 390).

tm (*t-m-m*) n. s. “virtue”; JONGELING 2008: 409 s.v. *tm* “completeness”; cf. BH (HALOT 1752-1753); Ug. *tm* adj. “mature, complete” RS 2.002:67 = CTU 1.23:67 (BORDREUIL – PARDEE 2009: 254; HALAYQA 2008: 338).

plt' n. f. /*fil(l)ūto*/ “his works”; cf. *fillyt* (Wadi Uaeni NP 1.3 [KERR 2010: 220]).

Compare BH *pə'ullā* “work, labor” (HALOT: 951).

'lm adv. ‘eternally’ cf. *'d 'lm* “until eternity” (KAI 78.1; DNWSI: 859; JONGELING 2008: 401 s.v.).

'br (‘-*b-r*) poss. *pi'ēl* perf. optative; cf. BH *'br* “Hif. 10. “to cause an announcement (or order) to be transmitted” Ex 36:6; Ezr 1:1; 10:7; Neh 8:15; 2 Chron 30:5; 36:22 (HALOT: 780).

The reference to a *sml mrn[h]hsyd* “image of our gracious lord” (line 7) possibly alludes to coins bearing an image, although Hatran coin series show a profile head of Shamash, the sun god, on the obverse and a spread eagle on the reverse. There is extant, however, a much-damaged sculpture from Hatra portraying Sanatruq I and his son and successor Abdšamiya. Perhaps the text alludes to this type of royal portraiture. The inscription might have been intended for the dedication of such an image at Maktar.

The Aramaic title *mry'* “lord” designates several roles in the Aramaic texts from Hatra. J. B. Segal observed that “it is found most frequently of Našru son of Nišryehab and father of King Sanatruq I” (SEGAL 1983: 108, with citations). Sanatruq I (reigned ca. 140-180 C.E.) was apparently the first at Hatra to be elevated from the status of *mry'* to the rank of *mlk'* (HARTMANN 2001: 95-96 n129; SOMMER 2003: 23). The inception of Sanatruq’s reign can set a *terminus post quem* for the date of this inscription.

S8(8) *yrd b'mq hlḥ*

“Lower in depth the jaw!”

or: “They lowered...”

yrd (*y-r-d*) v. K imper. pl. “lower!” (DNWSI: 468-469; cf. HALOT: 434-435; HALAYQA 2008: 365-366). The Punic syntagm *yrd b-* matches BH *wattōridēm . . . bə'ad* (Josh. 2:15).

'mq n. m. prob. /*'umq*/ “depth”; cf. BH *bə'imqê-* “in the depths” Prov. 9:18 (HALOT: 849 s.v. *'ōmeq*); see also DNWSI: 872-873; HALOT: 847-848; DUL 165; HALAYQA (2008: 84).

h- def. art. In this instance, the function matches the form. See the note on line 1 above.

lḥ n. m. ‘jaw’; cf. Ug. *lḥ* ‘cheek’ CTU 1.5:VI.19 (KORPEL 1990: 100; HALAYQA 2008: 204).

Sentences 7-8 (lines 4b-8) appear to a modern reader to strike distinctly light-hearted tones. In particular, the sentence *yrđ b'mq hlh* “Lower in depth the jaw!” (S8, line 8) sounds a call to soldiers to acclaim their benefactor²⁸.

Part 3: Faction and Division

S10 *w'hr st [q]š t't 'drt*
 “And after this, the nomad nobility became difficult”

st dem. pron. “this” (JONGELING 2008: 400 s.v., PPD: 349-350 s.v.)

[q]š (*q-š-y* ii) v. 3pl. “be heavy, hard, difficult” cf. BH *qšh* (HALOT: 1151-1152; JASTROW 1985: 1430; cf. Ug. *qš* “harsh, severe” DUL, 717; HALAYQA 2008: 274).

t't n. f. pl. “nomads” (see comments on S2 above).

'dr adj. “mighty, magnificent” (DNWSI: 18-19; cf. HALOT: 13-14 s.v. *'addir*; Ug. *'drt* “noble, best” (DUL: 22); HALAYQA 2008: 38)²⁹.

S11 (9) *r'š' slq 'tm p'lytm*
 “Its head divided the citizenry (*poliřima*) with them”

r'š' (*r'š*) n. JONGELING 2008: 405 s.v.. The orthography implies the vocalism */ruřo/* “its head” (with cataphoric reference to *poliřima*, a loanword [see below]).

'tm prp. *'t* + 3m.pl. sfx *-om*. Lit. “with them” (cf. JONGELING 2008: 384 s.v. *tmm*).

slq (*ř-l-q*) v. The translation assumes an etymon shared with Arm. *ř-l-q* “split” (DJBA: 966a; JASTROW 1985: 1286).

p'lytm n. Greek *πολίτευμα*; cf. MHeb. *plyřwmnwn* < Gk. *πολιτευόμενος* = Lat. *decurio* (KRAUSS 1898: 425 s.v.); Syr. *plyř'wmns*; MHeb. *plyřqyn* < *πολιτικοί* “city people” (JASTROW 1985: 1141 s.v.); Palm. *plyř* < *πολιτεία* “tenure of public office” (PAT: 1378; DNWSI: 915; BROCK 2005: 20). The likely meaning is “corporate body of citizens resident in a foreign city” (LSJ s.v. *πολίτευμα* IV.2); see also STRATHMANN 1968: 519-520; BISCARDI 1984 = 1999: 221-238; GUZMÁN BRITO 2002; KASHER 2002-2003; CASINOS-MORA 2004.

S12 *wđl 'qř bhb 'rt* (10) *řhr řđ 'rkn*
 “And with contempt for amity, (at) daybreak they waylaid our squad”

wđl adv. “with” (JONGELING 2008: 387 s.v.).

'qř (*'qř*) / *'uqāř*? cf. MHeb. *'uqāř* 1. “thorn, point prick, sting”, 2. “haunch, tail” (JASTROW 1985: 1057); Arb. *'aqāřa*; cf. Heb. *'-q-ř* (HALOT: 875-876).

řb 'rt (*řbr*) n. f. “amity, friendship” DNWSI: 346-347; cf. JONGELING 2008: 389 s.v. *řbr*; HALOT: 287-288; HALAYQA 2008: 153³⁰.

²⁸ The ironic tone of the inscription in this instance appears to offer a subaltern perspective on imperial Roman political oratory. I am grateful to Naomi Norman, whose comment on an earlier draft of this study prompted me to clarify my own thoughts concerning how such commentary would find its way into a monumental inscription.

²⁹ On the indigenous nobility of North Africa, see LÉVÈQUE 1999.

³⁰ The vocalism implied by the spelling *řb 'rt* /*řařarūt*/, is like Jewish Aramaic *řařarūtā* ‘attachment, companionship, friendship’ (JASTROW 1985: 423 s.v.; cf. BROCKELMANN 1928: 212).

šhr n. “daybreak” (see HALOT: 1467 s.v. *šahar* i); or *šhr* (*š-h-r* ii) v. poss. D perf. “be on the lookout for” (HALOT: 1465 s.v. *šahar* ii); cf. DNWSI: 1122; DUL: 812-813; HALAYQA 2008: 320.

šd (*š-d-y*) v. G perf. 3pl. “lie in wait” (cf. BH *šādū*, Lam 4:18; HALOT: 1000-1001).

rk (*rk*) n. m. “preparation, arrangement, assessment, evaluation” (DNWSI: 887-888; cf. JONGELING 2008: 401 s.v. *rk* “valuation”; HALOT: 885; DUL: 182; HALAYQA 2008: 90.

This sentence appears to employ an idiomatic expression, *dl qš b-* lit. “with tail/haunch to” or “with stinger in”. Showing one’s rear seems to be a near-universal somatic expression of contempt, and my translation reflects this inference. The meanings “sting” and “tail” for *qš* suggest that the metaphor might be intended to represent the behavior of a scorpion, a familiar menace in this region.

The noun *rk* here may convey an image of infantry arrayed in columns³¹. As a cavalry unit, the *turma* generally moved in an array of three lines of ten horse. The mounted *decurio* led the unit, and the *optio* rode at the rear³². I have used *squad* to render this image because it derives from *exquadare* “to form into a square”.

S13 *wln ḥn yṯnn pṯt*
 “And to us – our brothers gave us drawn swords”

ln prep. *l-* + 1c.pl. suffix /*lən(u)*/ “to us”. On the suffix, see KERR 2010: 142.

ḥn n.m.pl. + 1c.pl. suffix /*aḥun*/ “our brothers” (KERR 2010: 33, 142).

pṯt (*p-t-ḥ*) n. f.pl. “drawn swords”; cf. BH *petîḥâ* Ps 55:22 (HALOT: 989-990; cf. HALOT: 986-988; DNWSI: 948-950).

The sequence of the first-person prepositional phrase *ln* “to us” followed by the first-person pronoun affixed to the verb (/*yatnū-n(ū)*/ “they gave us” might be recognized as aposiopesis, which I have indicated by the dash. On this rhetorical feature in Phoenician, see O’CONNOR 1977: 23.

The kinship term *ḥ* “brother” is quite possibly used here as an expression of military comradeship, as in Latin military argot. From the prominence of *pṯt* “drawn swords” in the sentence I infer a confrontation between military units.

S14 (11) *k yln b ṣr lb p ln kytn šb ṯ*
 “While they spent the night here in happiness of heart, upon us they consequently put curses”

k adv. “when, while” (DNWSI: 482); cf. EpHeb, BH *kî* “when” (HALOT: 471); Ug. *k* “when” (DUL: 422-423; HALAYQA 2008: 180 s.v. *ky* 3).

yln (*l-y-n*) v. “sleep, spend the night” (DNWSI: 575; HALOT: 529; DUL: 500; HALAYQA 2008: 208).

ṣr (*ṣr*) n. “happiness” (DNWSI: 125; PPD: 85; HAL: 99; HALAYQA 2008: 65).

³¹ The cognate verb *‘r-k* signifies ‘to place in order, array’, and can refer to battle formation (HALOT: 885 s.v. *qal* 3).

³² The rear officer, called *optio*, was selected by the *decurio* (MCCALL 2002: 79). I have employed this terminology from the Republican period in the absence of certainty about subsequent changes.

ln “upon us” cf. BH *ntn* *l* “attach to” (Exod 39:31 2x); “to set upon (columns)” (1 Kgs 7:16; *lātēt* *ālénū* “to charge ourselves”, i.e., impose upon ourselves (Neh 10:33); *wənātôn* *otô* *al kol-’ereš mišrāyim* “and he [Pharaoh] appointed him [Joseph] over all the land of Egypt”, i.e., imposed him upon (Gen 31:43).

k adv. “thus, in this way” (DNWSI: 500; HALOT: 461; DUL: 423-424; HALAYQA 2008: 180)

ytn (*y-t-n*) v. K 3pl. /yattīnū/ “they imposed/invoked”.

šb (*šb*) n. f. “oath, curse” (HALOT: 1384-1385). The syntax of Punic *ytn šb t* ... *l*- is similar to BH *ntn* . . . *šb h* (Num 5:21)³³. The biblical sentence involves imposing or invoking a curse on a woman accused of adultery.

Part 4: Register of Dissenters

Column iii

S16 (12) *šm t hmzrh š* (13) *y krm t hmn ht*

“Names of the *turma* whom they did not invite with a mob of terror”

mzrh see the comment on S1 (line 1) above.

y neg. “not” (PPD: 45-46 s.v. *y* ii).

kr (*k-r-y*) v. “invite to a feast” (HALOT: 497; cf. *kr* “Festmahl” DUL: 454; HALAYQA 2008: 190). The verb phrase is *y krm* “they did not invite them”.

hmn n.m. “crowd, multitude, army”; cf. BH *hāmôn* (HALOT: 250-251).

ht n. (*h-t-t*) n. “terror” cf. BH *ḥat* (HALOT: 363 s.v. *ht*).

The phrase *hmn ht* is difficult to translate because the second word is ambiguous. I have interpreted Punic *ht* as cognate to the Biblical Hebrew nonce-word *ḥat*, rendered ‘terror’. The verb *h-t-t* ranges in meaning from ‘break’ to ‘kill’, enough of which produces terror. The form *ht* may be participial, suggesting ‘band of murderers’ or a similar expression. The text’s point is to bring serious accusations against their detractors.

S17 (14) *qr lmm l m t*

“Read from above what they signed”

qr (*q-r-*) v. *qal* imper. sing. /*qrō*/ “(you sing.) read” or pl. /*qrē*’*ū*/ “(you pl.) read” (JONGELING 2008: 405 s.v.)

lmm l Concerning the preposition chain *l- + m-*, see PPG³:181 (§253).

m pron. “what” (PPD: 265 s.v.; JONGELING 2008: 394 s.v. *m*)

t (*t-*’-y, by-form of *t-w-y*) v. *pi’el* perf. 3pl. “to make a mark, scribble” (HALOT: 1696; cf. 1673).

The attested dyad *lmt* ... *lm l* “below ... above” (KAI 14.11) induces the interpretation of the string *lmm l m t* in line 14 as the segments *lmm l* “from above” and *mt* “downward” (e.g., RÖLLIG in KAI vol. 2, 143). The necessary interpretation of

³³ The constructions *by tm šb t* (Hr. Maktar N 75 ii.4) and *y tm t šb t* (Hr. Maktar N 75 iii.8, iv.11; FÉVRIER – FANTAR 1963-64, 50 B i.2; 51 B ii.1; 53 B iii.2; iv.2 JONGELING 2008: 126) probably involve the idiom found in S6 (line 6) above.

mt' as an erroneous writing of Phoenician *mṭ* is unconvincing, however. The inscription's orthography correctly represents etymological *ṭet* in the spelling of *'ṭrt* (line 3). In representing personal names, the orthography employs *ṭet* for Greek *tau* and Latin *t*, as the following examples illustrate: Lat. *q'pṭ'* < *Capito/e* (line 19); Lat. *r'styṭṭ'* 'Restitute' < *Restitutus* (line 29). The verb *t'* in Hr. Maktar 64.14, signifying an act of writing, supplies a more satisfactory interpretation. The list of names is, in fact, a list of signatures to the original document.

Line 15 is not included in this translation.

Column iv

S19 (16)*rb hmzrh shlkny bn m'nzm'r*

"The commander of the *turma* is Selicanie son of Monzamar"

rb n. m. "chief, commander"; cf. JONGELING 2008: 405 s.v., adj. "great, important".
shlkny p. n. Selicanie.

Orthographic analysis of the text

Consonants: All consonants are represented with the phonological values found in earlier Phoenician. One consonant shift may be represented: the spelling *t'* (line 14) could signify *w > '* , if the proper root is *t-w-y*. This alteration is phonologically conditioned, and not a historical orthographic change. The historical writing of laryngeals in a text of this late date is noteworthy (see JONGELING – KERR 2005: 6-7; KERR 2010: 26-38).

Vowel Letters: This text, if it has been correctly analyzed in the preceding section, makes use of four vowel letters, *'alep*, *he*, *yod*, and *'ayn*. Here is a list of the attested spellings that employ vowel letters.

'alep

<i>'dr't</i>	<i>e</i>
<i>plt'</i>	<i>o /filluto/</i> ³⁴
<i>qr'</i>	<i>o /qro/</i>
<i>r's'</i>	<i>o /rušo/</i> "its leader"
<i>šm't</i>	<i>ō /šēmōt/</i> "names"

he

<i>shlkny</i>	<i>e</i> cf. Lat. <i>Selicanius</i> ³⁵
---------------	---

yod

<i>hsyd</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>qydš</i>	<i>i</i>

³⁴ The length of the final vowel is uncertain.

³⁵ KERR 2005: 63-65 lists other examples of *h* for *e* in Latin names.

ʿayn

ḥb ʿrt

a

The syllable position of a vowel letter in a word does not by itself affect the quality of the vowel. The small sample above yields the observation that *ʿalep* represents *e* and *o*³⁶; the lone instance of *h* has the value *e*; *yod* has the value *i* in all environments. The letter *ʿayn* has the value *a* in all environments (e.g., *mkt ʿr* “Maktar” [JONGELING 2008: 348 s.vv.]). This pattern is consistent with the findings of KERR 2010.

The spelling of the verb *qr ʿ* is phonologically ambiguous. Evidence supporting the vocalization /qro/ for *qr ʿ* in line 14 comes from the spelling *qr ʿ* in Qalat Abi s-Siba N 1.1 (JONGELING 2008: 249, 405). From this epitaph’s style as a *titulus loquens* addressing the single passer-by (*ʿlk*) we can deduce that the verb written *qr ʿ* is singular, hence to be vocalized /qro/.

Historical implications

As mentioned above, the reference to *hmzrh ʿš ldrt ʿš* “this *turma*, which has existed for generations” provides a significant detail about an indigenous institution at Maktar. This detail provides historians a new datum in view of which the late-Roman period of the *ʿršt tšk ʿi* “lands of Tushkat” (see JONGELING 2008: 74-75, Djebel Massoudj 1.1), or *pagus Thuscae* (MANFREDI 2003: 443-445), can be reconsidered. This text raises questions about Roman strategy, tactics, and logistics in connection with indigenous populations in Africa (MATTINGLY 1992)³⁷.

Brett and Fentress have pointed out that, during the Severian period “the creation of auxiliary units of the Numidian cavalry gave a new role to an important component in African society [i.e., the Berbers], one which brought with it both status and a road to Roman citizenship, for veterans of auxiliary units had an automatic right to citizenship” (BRETT – FENTRESS 1996: 54). The prestige of military service acted as a social counterweight to the power of Carthage and Rome, and the needs of cavalry units provided economic development as horse breeding became a major industry (ibid., 34). If we allow that the *mzrh* is a military unit called in Latin *turma*, a limited range of interpretive possibilities opens.

The list of personal names in lines 16-47 allows some inferences about the linguistic and – potentially – ethnic composition of the *turma*³⁸. Looking first at the patronyms in the list, sixteen names (50 percent) appear to be Libyco-Berber³⁹, although some of these are uncertain. Twelve names (38 percent) are Punic. Two names (7 percent) are Greek. One Latin name in Greek declension (*plk ʿy* < Gk. *Φηλικᾶς*, gen. *-άιο* < Lat. Felix [line 17])⁴⁰ belongs to a father whose son has a name that appears to be Berber

³⁶ The historical development of *ʿalep* as a vowel letter is traced by AMADASI GUZZO 1995a.

³⁷ Note the observation that “l’*épigraphie* est considérée généralement comme une ‘science auxiliaire’ de l’histoire” (SZNYCER 2002-2003: 28).

³⁸ None of these names appears in Jarrett’s detailed prosopographic study of African equestrian commanders (1963).

³⁹ The linguistic nomenclature follows CAMPS 1996, 2002-2003.

⁴⁰ In Koine Greek, “foreign proper names are adapted as far as possible to Greek paradigms, chiefly to the first declension and to the short names in *-ᾶς*” (BDF 30 §55).

(*m'syr*). One member's name (*m'sqlt*, line 43 [see below]) occurs without patronym. Classifying the patronyms by language reveals that the progenitors of the *turma*'s members are mostly Berber speakers, with a large minority of Punic speakers. The Greek-derived name *shlkny* (lines 16, 20) belongs to two sons of different fathers with Berber names; the variant spelling *slkny* (line 31) belongs to the son of *m'sqlt*. I think it likely that the name *m'sqlt* is a Latin loan, a Semitic or Berber abstract permutation of *masculus*, probably with the sound shape /masqulut/ "libido"⁴¹. In a third spelling, *slk'ny* is the patronymic of Punic-named *mtnb'l*, one of three members of the *turma* who bear that name.

The pattern of name-giving evident from this parental generation also deserves attention. None of the three fathers with a Greek-derived name has a son with a Greek name: one son has a Berber name, one a Latin name, and one a Punic name. Of the twelve fathers with a Punic name, half of the sons bear Punic names (6 names); one-fourth have Latin names (3 names); one has a Persian name. One son of a Punic-named father may have a Berber name, although the name (restored by JONGELING 2008: 116 as *knr'sn*, line 40) is badly damaged and difficult to read. The name of the remaining son of a Punic-named father is entirely destroyed. Berber-named fathers have sons with the following range of names: Punic (6 names); Greek (5 names); Latin (4 names); uncertain (2 names: *'zrm'n*, line 36; *'wmzgw'r*, line 47). It seems likely that the patterns of name-giving in evidence in this text reflect marriage patterns as well as culture-crossing aspirations⁴². Without reliable information about the role of fathers in naming, however, we cannot determine the sources of the sons' names. In this situation, restrained interpretation is best. We can outline the main deductions nonetheless.

Sons of some Berber-named fathers bear 'aspirational' names. Parents have selected non-Berber names from an expanding circle of ethnic difference shaped by the occupational history of the larger territory. Phoenician-Punic names, drawn from the oldest and best-established colonizing power, are the largest single bloc; Greek names, second in antiquity and proximity, are the next bloc in size; Latin names, a historically more recent intrusion and the currently hegemonic power, represent the choice of only three Berber-named parents. One or two fathers with Berber names have sons in the *turma* whose names are, or may be, Berber. Whether, and to what degree, the linguistic diversity of names given to sons of Berber-named fathers is indicative of exogamy by the parental generation cannot be determined from the data available.

The names of sons of Punic-named fathers pattern very differently. Seven of the sons' names (53 percent) are Punic. Three (25 percent) are Latin⁴³. Retention of language and cultural identity may have been a motivating factor in this pattern. This factor would suggest reasonably strong endogamy within the Punic-speaking community. The aspirational names are Latin, reflecting the higher social position of Punic society historically. Latin names remain confined to a minority of sons of Punic speakers.

⁴¹ There are thirteen examples of North African names written in Latin script that end with *-ut* (JONGELING 1994: 194 [retrograde index]).

⁴² ADAMS 2003: 197-201, examines the use of Latin by Roman soldiers.

⁴³ The remaining two names, as mentioned above, are too damaged to read.

The onomastic data for the parental generation suggest that the membership of the *turma* at the time of this inscription's completion was patrilineally 56 percent Berber⁴⁴, and 38 percent Punic. The statement in line 1 that the *mzrh* has "existed for generations" is probably a reasonably accurate description of the past. The onomastic patterns discussed above raise interesting questions about the next generation of this unit⁴⁵.

Grammatical abbreviations

adj.	adjective
adv.	adverb
conj.	conjunction
def. art.	definite article
encl.	enclitic
f.	feminine
m.	masculine
n.	noun
neg.	negative adverb
part.	participle
perf.	perfect
prep.	preposition
pron.	pronoun
rel. comp.	relative complementizer
v.	verb

⁴⁴ This figure counts the fathers of the three members named *s(h)lkny* and *plk'y* father of *m'syr* as linguistically Berber.

⁴⁵ R. Kerr generously commented on a much different early draft of this study. His views motivated me to alter my approach at several points, and improved my treatment of orthographic representations in more than one instance. Responsibility for the arguments proposed above remains entirely my own, nonetheless.

Table 1: Glossary for Hr. Maktar N 64 Lines 1-14, 16

Form	Line	Root	Definition	Parsing	Lexical Citations
					(Phoenician-Hebrew alphabetical order)
'	1		the	def. art.	DNWSI: 263
'dmt	3		land	n.	PPD: 34 s.v.
'dr	1	'dr	noble	adj.	DNWSI: 18-19; cf. HALOT: 13-14 s.v. 'addîr; Ug. 'drt "noble, best" (DUL: 22); HALAYQA 2008: 38
'dr't	3		splendor	n.	DNWSI: 18-19; PPD: 36; HALOT: 17; HALAYQA 2008: 38; 'drt + sfx
'h	10	'h	brother	n.	DNWSI: 28-32
'hr	8		after	adv.	DNWSI: 39; HALOT: 35-36; HALAYQA 2008: 44
'ht	4	'h	brother-hood	n.	Syr. 'āḥôt (BROCKELMANN 1928: 10); BH 'āḥawâ (HALOT: 31)
'l	2		these	pron.	JONGELING 2008: 382 s.v.
'lm	4		god	n.	DNWSI: 53-54
'š	1, 12		which	rel.	DNWSI: 1089 s.v. š ₁₀
'š	1	'-y- <u>l</u> / ' <u>l</u> -y	exist	v.	DNWSI: 472; cf. BH yēš HALOT: 443; Ug. <i>it</i> (DUL: 77; HALAYQA 2008: 71)
'šr	11		happiness	n.	DNWSI: 125; PPD: 85; HALOT: 99; HALAYQA 2008: 65
't	9, 13		with	prep.	DNWSI: 131 s.v. 't 6
'y	13		not	neg.	PPD: 45-46 s.v. 'y ii
b	4x		in	prep.	<i>yskr b-</i> see <i>skr</i>
b'l	5		lord, master	n.	DNWSI: 182-184; PPD: 110-111 s.v. b'l 7; cf. BH b'l "owner" HALOT: 143; Ug. b'l 2 "lord, owner" DUL: 206; HALAYQA 2008: 251-252
bn	1	b-n-y	build	v.	DNWSI: 173-178
dl	9		with	adv.	JONGELING 2008: 387 s.v.
dr	1	dwr	generation	n.	DNWSI: 258-259; cf. HALOT: 217-218; Ug. dr 2, DUL: 280; HALAYQA 2008: 127
h	5x		the	def. art.	DNWSI: 263
w	4x		and	conj.	DNWSI: 294-296
hb'rt	9	h-b-r	amity	n.	DNWSI: 346-347; HALOT: 287-288; HALAYQA 2008: 153
hmn	12	h-m-h	crowd, army, mob	n.	cf. BH hāmôn (HALOT: 250-251)
hnt	2		dwelling	n.	cf. Heb. <i>hnh</i> HALOT: 332
hrdt	5		guards	n.	DNWSI: 403; cf. Ug. <i>hrd</i> "guard, militia, troops" (DUL: 403-404); Emar <i>hardātu</i> 'guards' (PENTIUC 2001: 57; HALAYQA 2008: 174). Compare BH <i>ḥārādā</i> "trembling, fear" (HALOT: 351)
hšrt	1		enclosure	n.	JONGELING 2008: 390 s.v.; DNWSI: 400-401; PPD: 195 s.v. <i>hšr iii</i> ; cf. HALOT: 345; Ug. <i>hšr</i> 'mansion' DUL: 382; Emar / <i>hišarati</i> / ([PENTIUC 2001: 71; HALAYQA 2008: 168)
hsyd	7		loyal (one)	n.	cf. BH <i>hesed</i> "loyalty" (HALOT: 336-337). Compare adj. m. "pious, kind" (DNWSI: 390)

<i>ht</i>	12	<i>ḥ-t-t</i>	terror	n.	cf. BH <i>ḥat</i> (HALOT: 363)
<i>ymm</i>	5	<i>ym</i>	daily	n.	cf. BH <i>yômām</i> (HALOT: 401-402)
<i>yrd</i>	8	<i>y-r-d</i>	let down, lower	v.	DNWSI: 468-469; HALOT: 434-35; HALAYQA 2008: 365-366
<i>yšb</i>	3	<i>y-š-b</i>	inhabit	v.	JONGELING 2008: 391 s.v.
<i>ytn</i>	6, 10	<i>y-t-n</i>	give	v.	DNWSI: 478-480
<i>k</i>	11	<i>k(h)</i>	here	adv.	DNWSI: 518; HALOT: 461; DUL: 423-424; HALAYQA 2008: 180
<i>k</i>	11		thus, in this way	adv.	DNWSI: 500; HALOT: 461; DUL: 423-424; HALAYQA 2008: 180
<i>kr</i>	13	<i>k-r-y</i>	invite to feast	v.	HALOT: 497; <i>kr</i> 'Festmahl' DUL: 454; HALAYQA 2008: 190
<i>l</i>	7x		to	prep.	DNWSI: 549-551
<i>lb</i>	11	<i>lb</i>	heart	n.	DNWSI: 561-562
<i>lh</i>	8	<i>lh</i>	jaw	n.	cf. Ug. <i>lh</i> "cheek" CTU 1.5:VI.19 (KORPEL 1990: 100; HALAYQA 2008: 204)
<i>ln</i>	11	<i>l-y-n</i>	sleep, spend the night	v.	DNWSI: 575; HALOT: 529; DUL: 500; HALAYQA 2008: 208
<i>m</i>	14	<i>m</i>	what	pron.	DNWSI: 599-601; PPD: 265 s.v.; JONGELING 2008: 394 s.v. <i>m</i> '; cf. Ug. <i>mh</i> "what" (DUL: 534-537; HALAYQA 2008: 211-212)
<i>mzrh</i>	1, 16	<i>z-r-ḥ</i>	<i>turma</i>	n.	HALOT: 281 s.v. <i>zeraḥ</i>
<i>mhzt</i>	2	<i>ḥ-z-y</i>	vision	n.	cf. BH Num 24:4, 16 (HALOT: 301); cf. JONGELING 2008: 395 s.v., 'window, light')
<i>mlk</i>	5	<i>m-l-k</i>	king/ruler	n. or part	DNWSI: 550-53; 633-40; HALOT: 590-592; DUL: 549-553; HALAYQA 2008: 216-217
<i>mqdš</i>	1	<i>q-d-š</i>	sanctuary	n.	DNWSI: 678-679
<i>mr</i>	7	<i>m-r-'</i>	appearance	n.	cf. BH <i>mar'eh</i> (HALOT: 630); Arm. <i>mr'h</i> 'appearance'
<i>nš'</i>	4	<i>n-š-'</i>	raise, lift	v.	DNWSI: 760-761; HALOT: 724-27; DUL: 648-649; HALAYQA 2008: 243
<i>skr</i>	5	<i>ś-k-r</i>	pay, hire	v.	DNWSI: 1135; cf. JONGELING 2008: 399 s.v. <i>skr</i> n.
<i>swr²</i>	4		Sura	g.n.	Sura, a city on the Euphrates in Osrhoene
<i>sml</i>	7	<i>sml</i>	statue, image	n.	DNWSI: 792
<i>st</i>	8	<i>z-'</i>	this	pron.	JONGELING 2008: 400 s.v., PPD: 349-350 s.v.
<i>'br</i>	7	<i>'b-r</i>	proclaim	v.	DNWSI: 821-822; HALOT: 799-780; Hif. 10. to cause an announcement (or order) to be transmitted Ex 36:6; Ezr 1:1; 10:7; Neh 8:15; 2 Chron 30:5; 36:22; to review, go over s.t. Gal.; DUL: 145; HALAYQA 2008: 74
<i>'l</i>	4x	<i>'-l-y</i>	upon	prep.	DNWSI: 844-846
<i>'lg</i>	5	<i>'-l-g</i>	stammer	v.	HALOT: 828; DUL: 158; HALAYQA 2008: 79
<i>'lm</i>		<i>'lm</i>	eternally	adv.	cf. <i>'d 'lm</i> "until eternity" (KAI 78.1; DNWSI: 859; JONGELING 2008: 401 s.v.)
<i>'m</i>	3	<i>'m</i>	people	n.	JONGELING 2008: 401 s.v.)
<i>'mq</i>	8	<i>'-m-q</i>	depth	n.	DNWSI: 872-873; HALOT: 847-848; DUL: 165; HALAYQA 2008: 84
<i>'mt</i>	2	<i>'m</i>	community	n.	<i>'mt</i> CIS 263.3; DNWSI 864-866; <i>'myt</i> HALOT: 845 (cf. <i>'m</i> , 837-39); DUL: 163; HALAYQA 2008: 83

'rk	10	'rk	arrangement	n.	DNWSI: 887-888; HALOT: 885; DUL: 182; HALAYQA 2008: 90
'qš	9	'qš	1. thorn, point prick, sting, 2. haunch, tail	n.	MHeb 'ūqāš 1. "thorn, point prick, sting", 2. "haunch, tail" (JASTROW 1985: 1057); Arb. 'aqāša; cf. Heb. '-q-š (HALOT: 875-876)
'trt	3	'-t-r	crown	n.	DNWSI: 838; PPD: 366; HALOT: 815; DUL: 192; HALAYQA 2008: 94-95
'tr	6	'-t-r	supplicate	v.	HALOT: 1053
p	2, 11	p(h)	here	adv.	Pun. <i>pho</i> (<i>Poen.</i> 932 [DNWSI: 902]); other occurrences include KAI 46.8 (Nora), CIS I 112 c ¹ (Abu Simbel), and CIS I 86B.8 (Kition). Compare Old Canaanite <i>pū</i> "here" (EA 104.53); Ug. <i>p</i> 'here' (DUL: 657); BH <i>pō</i> "here" (HALOT: 916)
ḫlytm	9	Gk.	government; metics	n.	n. Greek <i>πολίτευμα</i> ; cf. MHeb. <i>plytwmwn</i> < Gk. <i>πολιτευόμενος</i> = Lat. <i>decurio</i> (Krauss 1898: 425 s.v.); Syr. <i>plyt'wmns</i> ; MHeb. <i>plytyqyn</i> < <i>πολιτικοί</i> "city people" (JASTROW 1985: 1141 s.v.).
plt'	7	p- 'l	deeds	n.f.	cf. <i>fillyt</i> (Wadi Uaeni NP 1.3 [KERR 2010: 220]). Compare BH <i>pə 'ullā</i> "work, labor" (HALOT: 951)
ptht	10	p-t-h	drawn sword	n.	DNWSI: 948-50; Heb. <i>petihah</i> Ps 55:22 (HALOT: 989-990; cf. HALOT: 986-988); DUL: 686; HALAYQA 2008: 260-261
šd	10	š-d-y	lie in wait	v.	cf. BH <i>šādū</i> , Lam 4:18; HALOT: 1000-1001
šlq	9	š-l-q	split	v.	DJBA: 966a; JASTROW 1985: 1286
[š]r	6	š-r-y i	need, distress, anxiety	n.	HALOT: 1053
qdš	2	q-d-š	holy one	adj.	DNWSI: 995-997
qydš	4	q-d-š	holy	adj.	DNWSI: 995-997
qr'	14	q-r-'	read	v.	Jongeling 2008: 405 s.v.
[q]š	8	q-š-y ii	be heavy, hard difficult	v.	cf. BH <i>qšh</i> (HALOT: 1151-52; JASTROW 1985: 1430; cf. Ug. <i>qš</i> "harsh, severe" DUL: 717; HALAYQA 2008: 274)
r'š	9	r- 'š	head	n.	JONGELING 2008: 405 s.v.
rb	16	rb	chief, commander	n.	JONGELING 2008: 405 s.v.
rz	5	r-z-h	ration	n.	Compare BH <i>r-z-h</i> "be or grow lean" (BDB: 930-931; HALOT: 1209 "to make bad"); <i>rāz</i> n.m. "leanness, wasting" (Isa 24:16, BDB: 931; HALOT: 1210); Palm. Arm <i>rz</i> "ration" (CIS II 3932.5)
š	2	š	of	encl.	DNWSI: 1089 s.v. š ₁₀
š't		see nš'			
šb't	6	šb'	plenty, sateity	n.f.	DNWSI: 1103; HALOT: 1304; JONGELING 2008: 406 s.v.
šb't	11	šb'	oath, curse	n.f.	HALOT: 1384-1385
šhr	10	šhr	dawn, daybreak, morning	n.	DNWSI: 1122; HALOT: 1466-1469; DUL: 812-813; HALAYQA 2008: 320
šm	12	šm	name	n.	DNWSI: 1155-1159
šmm	4	šm(š)m	heaven	n.	DNWSI: 1160-1162

<i>t'</i>	2, 8	<i>t'-y</i>	wanderer, nomad	part.	cf. BH part. <i>tô'eh</i> (s.), <i>tô'ôt</i> (pl.), implying Phoen.-Pun. <i>tô'ôt</i> (cf. FRIEDRICH – RÖLLIG 1999: 115; KRAHMALKOV 2001: 198)
<i>t'</i>	14	<i>t'-y</i>	make a mark, scribble	n.	HALOT 1696; cf. 1673
<i>tm</i>	7	<i>t-m-m</i>	virtue	n.	“perfection, virtue”, e.g., KAI 107.4; 134.7 (DNWSI: 1217-1218; HALOT: 1752-1753); Ug. <i>tm</i> , DUL: 871; HALAYQA 2008: 338

Table 2: Personal Names in Hr. Maktar N 64 Lines 16-47
(sorted by language of patronym and language of son's name)

Line	Name	Language	Patronym	Language	Etymology
30	'ykn'	Gk	m'rz'	Berb	< Gk. εἰκόνα "likeness"
19	q'pt'	Gk	slsmyn	Berb	< (Aἰ)γυπτο(ς) or (Ἔ)γυπτο(ς) (PREISIGKE: 12); cf. MHeb <i>gypty</i> (KRAUSS 1898: 173).
16	shlkny	Gk	m'nzm'r	Berb	
20	shlkny	Gk	yst'tn	Berb	
31	slkny	Gk	m'sqlt	Berb	< Lat. <i>masculus</i> /masqulūt/ "libido"
29	r'stytt'	Lat	ršy	Berb	
23	rwp'	Lat	m'styb'r	Berb	
38	m'rwlny	Lat < Gk	m'sys'n	Berb	/marul(l)anaio/ Lat. PN Marullus; Gk. gen. cf. Μουρούλλας (PREISIGKE: 222), gen. -άιο (see BDF: 30 §55)
21	š'prgm	Pers	db'r	Berb	š'prgm also Hr. Maktar N 50
18	b'lšm'	Pun	m'sqlt	Berb	
42	b'lšm'	Pun	m'rwz'	Berb	
25	b'lytn	Pun	bwbyl	Berb	
39	brkb'l	Pun	db'r	Berb	
44	brkb'l	Pun	g'gyrt	Berb	
47	'wmzgw'r		m'syr'n	Berb	
46	'zrm'n		yst'tn	Berb	
34	lqy	Lat	g'lgst	Gk	< Gk. γέλιος "head, clove of garlic" + -it/-ut
17	m'syr	Berb	plk'y	Gk < Lat	< Gk. Φηλικᾶς, gen. -άιο < Lat. Felix (BDF: 30 §55)
28	mtnb'l	Pun	slk'ny	Gk	
37	b's'	Lat	'drb'l	Pun	
33	k'p'	Lat	b'lytn	Pun	
45	r'g't'	Lat	hkhnt	Pun	
36	š'prgm	Pers	brkb'l	Pun	
35	'bdmlqrt	Pun	b'lytn	Pun	
22	mtnb'l	Pun	brkb'l	Pun	
24	mtnb'l	Pun	brkb'l	Pun	
26	y'skt'n	Pun	[]mqrt	Pun	< s-k-t (cf. Akkad. <i>sakātu</i>) /yaskitani/ "may he quiet me" (HALOT: 756); <i>yip'il</i> < <i>yaqtula</i> ditto
27	y'sktn	Pun	'rš	Pun	ditto
41	yskt'n	Pun	b'lytn	Pun	ditto
32	'[]		'ršm	Pun	
40	kñršn		b'lšlk	Pun	
43	m'sqlt	Berb			< Lat. <i>masculus</i> ; abstr. /masqulut/ "libido"

References

- ADAMS 2003 = J. N. ADAMS, “ ‘Romanitas’ and the Latin Language”, *Classical Quarterly* 53, 2003: 184-205.
- AION = *Annali dell’Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli*.
- AMADASI GUZZO – BONNET 1991 = M. G. AMADASI GUZZO – C. BONNET, “Anthroponymes phéniciens et anthroponymes grecs: remarques sur leur correspondances”, *SEL* 8, 1991: 1-21.
- AMADASI GUZZO 1995a = M. G. AMADASI GUZZO, “Aleph mater lexionis en punique”, in M. H. FANTAR – M. GHAKI (eds.), *Actes du IIIe Congrès international des études phéniciennes et puniques, Tunis, 11-16 novembre 1991*, Institut National du Patrimoine, Tunis 1995: 71-76.
- AMADASI GUZZO 1995b = M. G. AMADASI GUZZO, “More on the Latin Personal Names Ending with –us and –ius”, in Z. ZEVIT – S. GITIN – M. SOKOLOFF (eds.), *Solving Riddles and Untying Knots: Biblical, Epigraphic, and Semitic Studies in Honor of Jonas C. Greenfield*, Eisenbrauns, Winona Lake, Ind. 1995: 495-504.
- AMADASI GUZZO 2002-2003 = M. G. AMADASI GUZZO, “Le plurilinguisme dans l’onomastique personnelle à l’époque néopunique”, *Antiquités Africaines* 38-39, 2002-2003: 281-288.
- AMADASI GUZZO 2005 = M. G. AMADASI GUZZO, “Les phases du phénicien: phénicien et punique”, in P. FRONZAROLI – P. MARRASSINI (eds.), *Proceedings of the 10th Meeting of Hamito-Semitic (Afroasiatic) Linguistics (Florence, 18-20 April 2001)* (QuSem 25), Dipartimento di Linguistica, Università di Firenze, Florence 2005: 95-103.
- AMADASI GUZZO 2006 = M. G. AMADASI GUZZO, “Epigrafia e storia politica fenicia e punica in Sicilia”, in *Guerra e pace in Sicilia e nel Mediterraneo antico, VIII-III sec. a.C.: arte, prassi e teoria della pace e della guerra, 693-702. Giornate internazionali di studi sull’area elima e la Sicilia occidentale (5th, 2003, Erice, Italy)*, Edizioni della Normale, Pisa 2006: 693-702.
- AOAT = Alter Orient und Altes Testament.
- BDF = F. BLASS – A. DEBRUNNER, *A Greek Grammar of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature*, Transl. and revised by R. W. Funk, University of Chicago Press, Chicago 1961.
- BENZ 1972 = F.L. BENZ, *Personal Names in the Phoenician and Punic Inscriptions* (Studia Pohl 8), Biblical Institute Press, Rome 1972.
- BERGER 1893 = P. BERGER, [Announcement of the discovery of Hr. Maktar 64], *CRAIBL* 1893: 6-7.
- BERGER 1901 = P. BERGER, “Mémoire sur la grande inscription dédicatoire et sur plusieurs autres inscriptions néo-puniques du temple d’Hathor-Miskar à Maktar”, *Mémoires de l’Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-lettres* 36, no. 2, 1901: 135-178.
- BESCHAOUCH 1977 = A. BESCHAOUCH, “Nouvelles recherches sur les sodalités de l’Afrique romaine”, *CRAIBL* 1977: 486-503.
- BESCHAOUCH 1985 = A. BESCHAOUCH, “Nouvelles observations sur les sodalités africaines”, *CRAIBL* 1985: 453-475.
- BEYER 1998 = K. BEYER, *Die aramäischen Inschriften aus Assur: Hatra und dem übrigen Ostmesopotamien*, Göttingen 1998.
- BISCARDI 1984 = A. BISCARDI, “Polis, politeia, politeuma”, in M. GIGANTE et al. (eds.), *Atti del XVII Congresso internazionale di Papirologia*, Vol. 3, Centro internazionale per lo studio dei papiri ercolanesi, Naples 1984: 1201-1215. Reprinted in BISCARDI 1999: 221-238.
- BISCARDI 1999 = A. BISCARDI, “Polis, politeia, politeuma”, in E. CANTARELLA – A. MAFFI (eds.), *Scritti di diritto Greco* (Università degli Studi di Milano. Facoltà di Giurisprudenza. Pubblicazioni dell’Istituto di Diritto Romano, 34), A. Giuffrè, Milan 1999: 221-238.
- BORDREUIL – PARDEE 2009 = P. BORDREUIL – D. PARDEE, *A Manual of Ugaritic*, Linguistic Studies in Ancient West Semitic 3., Eisenbrauns, Winona Lake, Ind. 2009.
- BRETT – FENTRESS 1996 = M. BRETT – E. FENTRESS, *The Berbers*, Blackwell, Oxford 1996.

- BROCK 2005 = S. P. BROCK, "Greek and Latin Words in Palmyrene Inscriptions: A Comparison with Syriac", in E. CUSSINI (ed.), *A Journey to Palmyra: Collected Essays to Remember Delbert R. Hillers*, Brill, Leiden 2005: 11-25.
- BROCKELMANN 1928 = C. BROCKELMANN, *Lexicon Syriacum*, 2nd ed., Max Niemeyer, Halle 1928.
- BUECHLER 1895-1897 = F. BUECHLER, *Carmina Latina epigraphica*, 2 vols., Leipzig 1895-1897.
- BURASELIS 1995 = K. BURASELIS, "Zu Caracallas Strafmaßnahmen in Alexandrien (215/6): Die Frage der Leinenweber in P.Giss. 40 II und der *syssitia* in Cass. Dio 77(78).23.3", *ZfPE* 108, 1995: 166-188.
- BURKE 1992 = P. BURKE, *History and Social Theory*, Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca 1992.
- CAGNAT – GAUCKLER 1898 = R. CAGNAT – P. GAUCKLER, *Les monuments historiques de la Tunisie*, Vol. 1: *Les temples païens*, E. Leroux, Paris 1898.
- CAGNAT 1892 = R. CAGNAT, *L'armée romaine d'Afrique et l'occupation militaire de l'Afrique sous les empereurs*, E. Léroux, Paris 1892.
- CAL = *Comprehensive Aramaic Lexicon*.
- CAMPBELL 1994 = J. B. CAMPBELL, *The Roman Army, 31 BC-AD 337: A Sourcebook*. Routledge, London 1994.
- CAMPS 1996 = G. CAMPS, "Écritures-Écriture libyque", in *Encyclopédie berbère* 17, 1996: 2564-2573.
- CAMPS 2002-2003 = G. CAMPS, "Liste onomastique libyque. Nouvelle édition", *Antiquités Africaines* 38-39, 2002-2003: 11-58.
- CAQUOT 1963 = A. CAQUOT, "Nouvelles inscriptions araméennes de Hatra (V)", *Syria* 40, 1963: 1-16.
- CASINOS-MORA 2004 = F. J. CASINOS-MORA, Review of BISCARDI 1999, *Bryn Mawr Classical Review* 2004.08.03, <http://bmcr.brynmawr.edu/2004/2004-08-03.html>.
- CIL = *Corpus inscriptionum latinarum*.
- CIS = *Corpus inscriptionum semiticarum*.
- CLE = BUECHLER 1895-1897 and LOMMATSCH 1926.
- CLERMONT-GANNEAU 1900a = C. CLERMONT-GANNEAU, "Le Mazrah et les Curiae ou Ordines carthaginois dans le Tarif des sacrifices de Marseille et dans les inscriptions néo-puniques de Maktar et d'Altiburos", in *Recueil d'archéologie orientale*, Vol. 3, E. Leroux, Paris 1900: 22-40.
- CLERMONT-GANNEAU 1900b = C. CLERMONT-GANNEAU, "Les inscriptions néo-puniques de Maktar", Vol. 3, in *Recueil d'archéologie orientale*, Vol. 3, E. Leroux, Paris 1900: 323-347.
- COLVIN 2004 = S. COLVIN, *The Greco-Roman East: Politics, Culture, Society* (Yale Classical Studies 31), Cambridge Univ. Press, New York 2004.
- CRAIBL = *Compte-rendus de l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres*.
- DIXON – SOUTHERN 1992 = K. R. DIXON – P. SOUTHERN, *The Roman Cavalry: From the First to the Third Century AD.*, Batsford, London 1992.
- DJBA = M. SOKOLOFF, *Dictionary of Jewish Babylonian Aramaic of the Talmudic and Geonic Periods*, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press., Baltimore 2002
- DNWSI = J. HOFTIJZER – K. JONGELING, *Dictionary of the North-west Semitic Inscriptions.*, 2 vols. (HdO 1.21), E. J. Brill, Leiden 1995.
- DUL = G. DEL OLMO LETE – J. SANMARTÍN (eds.), *A Dictionary of the Ugaritic Language in the Alphabetic Tradition*, transl. by W. G. E. Watson. Brill, Leiden 2003.
- EQUINI SCHNEIDER 1988 = E. EQUINI SCHNEIDER, "Palmireni in Africa: "CALCEUS HERCULIS", in A. MASTINO (ed.), *L'Africa romana: Atti del V Convegno internazionale di studio su "L'Africa romana,"* (Pubblicazioni del Dipartimento di Storia, Università degli Studi di Sassari), Gallizzi, Sassari 1988: 383-396
- FENTRESS 2006 = E. FENTRESS, "Romanizing the Berbers", *Past & Present* 190, 2006: 3-33.
- FERJAOUI 2007 = A. FERJAOUI, "L'onomastique dans les inscriptions néopuniques de l'Afrique à l'époque romaine", *Orientalia* 76, 2007: 33-46.

- FÉVRIER – FANTAR 1963-64 = J. G. FÉVRIER – M. FANTAR, “Les nouvelles inscriptions monumentales néopuniques de Maktar”, *Karthago* 12, 1963-64: 45-59.
- FÉVRIER 1956 = J. G. FÉVRIER, “La grande inscription dédicatoire de Maktar” *Semitica* 6, 1956: 15-31.
- FRIEDRICH – RÖLLIG 1999 = J. FRIEDRICH – W. RÖLLIG, *Phönizisch-punische Grammatik*. 3. Auflage, neu bearbeitet von Maria Giulia Amadasi Guzzo unter Mitarbeit mit W. R. Mayer (Analecta Orientalia 55), Editrice Pontificio Istituto Biblico, Roma 1999.
- GARBINI 1986 [1987] = G. GARBINI, *Venti anni di epigrafia punica nel Magreb* (Suppl. *RSF*, 14), Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Roma 1987.
- GINSBURG 1940 = M. GINSBURG, “Roman Military Clubs and Their Social Functions”, *Transactions and Proceedings of the American Philological Association* 71, 1940: 149-156.
- GNOLI 2007 = T. GNOLI, “Identità complesse: Uno studio su Palmira”, in T. GNOLI – F. MUCCIOLI (eds.), *Atti del Convegno di studi Incontri tra culture nell’Oriente ellenistico e romano: Ravenna, 11-12 marzo 2005*, Mimesis, Milano 2007: 167-198.
- GUZMÁN BRITO 2002 = A. GUZMÁN BRITO, “El vocabulario histórico para la idea de constitución política. *Revista de estudios histórico-jurídicos* no. 24, 200. http://www.scielo.cl/scielo.php?pid=S0716-54552002002400009&script=sci_arttext
- GUZZO AMADASI 1967 = M. G. GUZZO AMADASI, *Le iscrizioni fenicie e puniche delle colonie in Occidente* (Studi Semitici, 28), Istituto di Studi del Vicino Oriente, Rome 1967.
- HALAYQA 2008 = I. K. H. HALAYQA, *A Comparative Lexicon of Ugaritic and Canaanite* (AOAT 304), Ugarit-Verlag, Münster 2008.
- HALÉVY 1901 = J. HALÉVY, “Notes et mélanges (suite), vii. Inscriptions néo-puniques de Maktar”, *Revue Sémitique* 9, 1901: 265-267.
- HALOT = L. KOEHLER – W. BAUMGARTNER et al. (eds.), *The Hebrew and Aramaic Lexicon of the Old Testament*, Brill, Leiden 2001.
- HARTMANN 2001 = U. HARTMANN, *Das palmyrenische Teilreich*, Oriens et Occidens 2, Franz Steiner, Stuttgart 2001.
- HSM = Sigla of tablets in the collections of the Harvard Semitic Museum
- ILS = H. DESSAU (ed.), *Inscriptiones Latinae selectae*. 3 vols., Weidmann, Berlin 1892-1916.
- JARRETT 1963 = M. G. JARRETT, “The African Contribution to the Imperial Equestrian Service”, *Historia* 12, 1963: 209-226.
- JASTROW 1985 = M. JASTROW, *A Dictionary of the Targumim, the Talmud Babli and Yerushalmi, and the Midrashic Literature*, New York 1903. Judaica Press, Repr., New York 1985.
- JONGELING – KERR 2005 = K. JONGELING – R. M. KERR, *Late Punic Epigraphy*, Mohr Siebeck, Tübingen 2005.
- JONGELING 1994 = K. JONGELING, *North African Names from Latin Sources*, Research School CNWS, Leiden 1994.
- JONGELING 2008 = K. JONGELING, *Handbook of Neo-Punic Inscriptions*, Mohr Siebeck, Tübingen 2008.
- KAI = H. DONNER – W. RÖLLIG, *Kanaanäische und aramäische Inschriften*. 2d ed. 3 vols. Otto Harrassowitz, Wiesbaden 1966; 3rd-4th ed., 1973-79. Vol. 1, 5th, enlarged and revised ed., Wiesbaden 2002.
- KASHER 2002-2003 = A. KASHER, “No Longer a Historiographic Legend: New Papyri from a Forgotten Jewish *politeuma* in Ptolemaic Egypt”, *Tarbiz* 72, 2002-2003: 5-36.
- KERR 2010 = R. M. KERR, *Latino-Punic Epigraphy* (FAT ser. 2, 42), Mohr Siebeck, Tübingen 2010.
- KORPEL 1990 = M. C. A. KORPEL, *A Rift in the Clouds: Ugaritic and Hebrew Descriptions of the Divine* (Ugaritische-Biblische Literatur 8), Ugarit-Verlag, Münster 1990.
- KRAHMALKOV 1974 = C. R. KRAHMALKOV, “A Carthaginian Report of the Battle of Agrigentum 406 B.C. (*CIS* I 5510.9 11)”, *RSF* 2, 1974: 171-177.

- KRAHMALKOV 1975 = C. R. KRAHMALKOV, "Two Neo-Punic Poems in Rhymed Verse", *RSF* 3, 1975: 169-205.
- KRAHMALKOV 2001 = C. R. KRAHMALKOV, *A Phoenician-Punic Grammar* (Handbook of Oriental Studies 1,54), Brill, Leiden 2001.
- KRAUSS 1898 = S. KRAUSS, *Griechische und Lateinische Lehnwörter im Talmud, Midrasch und Targum*, S. Calvary, Berlin 1898.
- LEPELLEY 1981 = C. LEPELLEY, *Les cités de l'Afrique romaine au Bas-Empire*, Vol. 2, Paris 1981.
- LÉVÈQUE 1999 = P. LÉVÈQUE, "Avant et après les princes. L'Afrique Mineure de l'Age du Fer", in P. RUBY (ed.), *Les princes de la protohistoire et l'émergence de l'état*, Centre Jean Bérard, École Française de Rome, Rome 1999: 153-164.
- LÉVI-STRAUSS 1969 = C. LÉVI-STRAUSS, *The Elementary Structures of Kinship*, Revised ed. Translated by J. H. Bell, J. R. von Sturmer, and R. Needham, Beacon Press, Boston 1969.
- LIDZBARSKI 1898 = M. LIDZBARSKI, *Handbuch der Nordsemitischen Epigraphik*, 2 vols., Repr., Hildesheim: Georg Olms, Weimar 1962.
- LIDZBARSKI 1902 = M. LIDZBARSKI, *Ephemeris für semitische Epigraphik, erster Band, 1900-1902*, Alfred Töpelmann, Giessen 1902.
- LIPÍŃSKI 1995 = E. LIPÍŃSKI, *Dieux et déesses de l'univers phénicien et punique* (Studia Phoenicia 14, Departement Oosterse Studies), Peeters, Leuven 1995.
- LIU 2009 = J. LIU, *Collegia centonariorum: The Guilds of Textile Dealers in the Roman West*, Brill, Boston 2009.
- LOMMATZSCH 1926 = E. LOMMATZSCH, *Carmina Latina epigraphica*, Vol. 3, Leipzig 1926.
- LSJ = H. G. LIDDELL – R. SCOTT (eds.), *A Greek-English Lexicon with Supplement*. Revised and augmented throughout by Sir Henry Stuart Jones with the assistance of Roderick McKenzie, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1996.
- M'CHAREK 1982 = A. M'Charek, *Aspects de l'évolution démographique et sociale à Mactaris aux IIe et IIIe siècles ap. J.C.* (Publications de l'Université de Tunis, Faculté des Lettres et Sciences Humaines de Tunis, I^{ère} série, Archéologie-Histoire 13), Université de Tunis, Tunis 1982.
- MANFREDI 2003 = L.I. MANFREDI, *La politica amministrativa di Cartagine in Africa Roma*, Annali dell'Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei ser. 9, Vol. 16, fasc. 3, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Rome 2003: 392-532.
- MARTIN 2009 = J. L. MARTIN, *Social Structures*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton 2009.
- MATTINGLY 1992 = D. J. MATTINGLY, "War and Peace in Roman North Africa: Observations and Models of State-Tribe Interaction", in R. B. FERGUSON – N. L. WHITEHEAD (eds.), *War in the Tribal Zone: Expanding States and Indigenous Warfare*, School of American Research Press, Santa Fe 1992.
- MCCALL 2002 = J. B. MCCALL, *The Cavalry of the Roman Republic: Cavalry Combat and Elite Reputations in the Middle and Late Republic*, Routledge, London 2002.
- NORMAN 2009 = N. J. NORMAN, "Imperial Triumph, Funeral and Apotheosis: The Arch of Titus in Rome", in D. COUNTS – T. TUCK (eds.), *Koine*, Oxbow Press, Oxford 2009: 41-52.
- NSI = COOKE 1903 = G. A. COOKE, *A Text-book of North Semitic Inscriptions*, Clarendon, Oxford 1903.
- O'CONNOR 1977 = M.C. O'CONNOR, "The Rhetoric of the Kilamuwa Inscription", *Bulletin of the American School of Oriental Research* 226, 1977: 15-29.
- PENTIUC 2001 = E. PENTIUC, *West Semitic Vocabulary in the Akkadian Texts from Emar*, HSS 49, Eisenbrauns, Winona Lake, Ind. 2001.
- PICARD – ROUGÉ 1969 = G. CH. PICARD – J. ROUGÉ, *Textes et documents relatifs à la vie économique et sociale dans l'Empire romain – 31 avant J.-C – 225 après J.-C.*, Société d'édition d'enseignement supérieur, Paris 1969.
- POINSSOT 1959 = C. POINSSOT, "Suo et Sucubi", *Karthago* 10, 1959: 93-129.

- POLLARD 2000 = N. POLLARD, *Soldiers, Cities, and Civilians in Roman Syria*, University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor 2000.
- PPD = C. R. KRAHMALKOV, *Phoenician-Punic Dictionary* (Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 90 = Studia Phoenicia 15), Peeters, Leuven 2000.
- PREISIGKE 1922 = F. PREISIGKE, *Namenbuch enthaltend alle griechischen lateinischen, ägyptischen, hebräischen, arabischen und sonstigen semitischen und nichtsemitischen Menschnennamen...*, Selbstverlag des Herausgebers, Heidelberg 1922.
- PRICE 1987 = S. PRICE, "From Noble Funerals to Divine Cult: The Consecration of Roman Emperors", in D. CANNADINE – S. PRICE (eds.), *Rituals of Royalty: Power and Ceremonial in Traditional Societies*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1987: 56-105.
- RÉS = *Répertoire d'épigraphie sémitique*, Paris 1905-1950.
- ROLFE ed. and tr. 1935-1940 = J. C. ROLFE, *Ammianus Marcellinus, With an English Translation*, Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, Mass.; William Heinemann, London, 1935-1940.
- ROSTOVITZEFF 1957 = M. I. ROSTOVITZEFF, *The Social and Economic History of the Roman Empire*, 2 vols., Clarendon Press, Oxford 1957.
- RS = Ras Shamra (registration number of excavated texts from Ugarit).
- SARTRE 2005 = M. SARTRE, *The Middle East under Rome*, Belknap Press of Harvard Univ. Press., Cambridge, Mass. 2005.
- SCHMITZ 1994 = P. C. SCHMITZ, "The Name 'Agrigentum' in a Punic Inscription (CIS I 5510.10)", *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 53, 1994: 1-13.
- SEGAL 1980 = J. B. SEGAL, "Heavenly Ascent in Hellenistic Judaism, Early Christianity and Their Environment", in H. TEMPORINI – W. HAASE (eds.), *Aufstieg und Niedergang der Römischen Welt*, Vol. 3, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin 1980: 1333-1394.
- SEGAL 1983 = J. B. SEGAL, "A Note on a Mosaic from Edessa", *Syria* 60, 1983: 107-110.
- SEL = *Studi epigrafici e linguistici sul Vicino Oriente antico*.
- SMITH 2006 = C. J. SMITH, *The Roman Clan: The Gens from Ancient Ideology to Modern Anthropology*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2006.
- SOMMER 2003 = M. SOMMER, *Hatra: Geschichte und Kultur einer Karawanenstadt im römisch-parthischen Mesopotamien*, Philippe von Zabern, Mainz 2003.
- SOUTHERN – DIXON 1996 = P. SOUTHERN – K. R. DIXON, *The Late Roman Army*, B. T. Batsford, London 1996.
- SOUTHERN 1989 = P. SOUTHERN, "The *numeri* of the Roman Imperial Army", *Britannia* 20, 1989: 81-140.
- SOUTHERN 2001 = P. SOUTHERN, *Roman Empire from Severus to Constantine*, Routledge, London 2001.
- STOLPER 1993 = M. STOLPER, *Late Achaemenid, Early Macedonian and Early Seleucid Records of Deposit and Related Texts (AION Supp. 77)*, Istituto Universitario Orientale, Naples 1993.
- STRATHMANN 1968 = H. STRATHMANN, πόλις κτλ., *TDNT* 6, 1968: 516-535.
- SUSANNA 2007 = F. SUSANNA, "Templi punici o di matrice punica con cripta o con strutture sotterranee in Nord Africa", *Vicino Oriente* 13, 2007: 141-176.
- SZNYCER 1972 = M. SZNYCER, "Quelques observations sur la grande inscription dédicatoire de Mactar", *Semitica* 22, 1972: 25-43.
- SZNYCER 1986 = M. SZNYCER, "Les inscriptions néopuniques de Mididi", *Semitica* 36, 1986: 5-24.
- SZNYCER 2002-2003 = M. SZNYCER, "Antiquités et épigraphie nord-sémitiques [: Programme de l'Année 2002-2003], *Annuaire de l'École Pratique des Hautes Études*, iv^e Section, 18, 2002-2003: 28-31.
- TABOR 1992 = J.D. TABOR, "Heaven, ascent to", in *Anchor Bible Dictionary* 3, 1992: 91-94.
- THOMPSON 1992 = H. O. THOMPSON, "Dura-Europos", in *Anchor Bible Dictionary* 2, 1992: 241-243.

VAN DEN BRANDEN 1973 = VAN DEN BRANDEN, A., "Il sacrificio umano presso i Punici", *Bibbia e Oriente* 15, 1973: 197-208.

WALLENFELS 1998 = R. WALLENFELS, *Seleucid Archival Texts in the Harvard Semitic Museum: Text Editions and Catalogue Raisonné of the Seal Impressions* (Cuneiform Monographs 12), Styx, Groningen 1998.

WALTKE – O'CONNOR 1990 = B. WALTKE – M. O'CONNOR, *An Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax*, Eisenbrauns, Winona Lake 1990.

WHITE 1977 = K. D. WHITE, *Country Life in Classical Times*, Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca 1977.

WISEMAN 2009 = T. P. WISEMAN, *Remembering the Roman People: Essays on Late-Republican Politics and Literature*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford 2009.

ZfPE = *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik*.